

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	O. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,437		01/15/2002	Lawrence W. Hrubesh	IL-10413	6489
24981	7590	04/19/2005		EX	AMINER
		F THE UNIVERSI	Lis	LISH, PETER J	
PO BOX 80		RMORE NATIONAL	LABORATORY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LIVERMO	RÉ, CA	94551-0808		1754	

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			vo				
	1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/050,437	HRUBESH, LAWRENCE W.				
Office Action Sumn	nary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Peter J Lish	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under th after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less to If NO period for reply is specified above, the relative to reply within the set or extended per Any reply received by the Office later than three armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	DMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(of this communication. han thirty (30) days, a reply w naximum statutory period will iod for reply will, by statute, ce ee months after the mailing de	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 3)□ Since this application is in o							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,4,8 and 18</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allow 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,4,8, and 18</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject	is/are withdrawr ed. e rejected. ted to.	n from consideration.					
Application Papers							
	is/are: a) acception any objection to the drincluding the correction	oted or b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. Seen is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)		о□	(DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/25/05. 		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/050,437

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Droege et al. (US 5,945,084).

The rejection of the previous office action is maintained in its entirety and incorporated herein by reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Droege et al. (US 5,945,084).

The rejection of the previous office action is maintained in its entirety and incorporated herein by reference.

Claims 1, 4, 8, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pekala et al. (US 5,932,185) in view of Kaschmitter et al (US 5,260,855).

The rejection of the previous office action is maintained in its entirety and incorporated herein by reference.

Art Unit: 1754

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/25/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant relies upon arguments that the rejections of the previous office action do not yield a "monolithic" composite. While the term monolithic is supported in the specification, the attributes which the applicant attempts to bestow to the term are not supported by the original disclosure. The specification states that a monolithic material without cracks is formed due to pyrolysis. This is in accordance with the definition of monolithic in the dictionary, which defines monolithic as a rigid, uniform whole. The applicant's new arguments which call for conductance and specific pore sizes being required in a monolithic material are not supported by the original disclosure and represent new matter.

Furthermore, if supercritical drying is essential to the formation of a monolithic material, as presently argued, it is unclear as to how the applicants obtained monolithic materials while using evaporative drying, as is supported by the specification.

At any rate, neither supercritical drying nor a specific pore size are claimed by the applicant and it remains that no difference is seen between the processes applied in the rejections of the previous office action and the instantly claimed process.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/050,437 Page 4

Art Unit: 1754

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J Lish whose telephone number is 571-272-1354. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-6:00 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

PL